

REVISE

.wales

1.01 – Money basics – pounds, pence & best buys

Mark schemes for the 1.01 question pack

Spec 1.8.1, 1.8.2 – Unit 1

SOLUTIONS · 2025 SPECIFICATION

Mark schemes for the 3 questions in the corresponding revise.wales question pack (19 marks total). Sources: legacy WJEC GCSE papers, WJEC SAM, and custom-authored mark schemes. Pack layout © revise.wales.

<p>3(a) Compare small with large using same <u>volume</u>, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Volume of 4 small cartons • Cost of 4 small cartons • Cost of 500ml of large carton <p>OR</p> <p>Compare medium with large using <u>volume and cost</u>, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost for 2400ml medium cartons • Cost of 1000ml large carton <p>Compare the small with the medium using <u>cost</u>, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Volume for £1.20 in small cartons • Cost of 3 small cartons • Volume of 1/3 of a medium carton • Cost of 400 ml medium carton <p>Conclusion 'small' based on accurate calculations from full comparison</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p>	<p>Accept for 'their 4' from $2000 \div 500$ Ignore incorrect units given</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="852 259 1422 465"> <tr> <td>4 small</td> <td>vol</td> <td>4×500</td> <td>2000ml</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 small</td> <td>cost</td> <td>$4 \times (0.)40$</td> <td>£1.6(0) or 160p</td> </tr> <tr> <td>500ml large</td> <td>cost</td> <td>$2(.)50 \div 4$</td> <td>£0.625 or 62.5p</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2400ml medium</td> <td>cost</td> <td>$2 \times 1(.)20$</td> <td>£2.40 or 240p</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1000ml large</td> <td>cost</td> <td>$2(.)50 \div 2$</td> <td>£1.25 or 125p</td> </tr> </table> <p>Accept for 'their 3' from $1200 \div 400$ Ignore incorrect units given</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="852 600 1422 763"> <tr> <td>£1.20 in small</td> <td>vol</td> <td>3×500</td> <td>1500 ml</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 small</td> <td>cost</td> <td>$3 \times (0.)40$</td> <td>£1.20 or 120p</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1/3 medium</td> <td>vol</td> <td>$1200 \div 3$</td> <td>400 ml</td> </tr> <tr> <td>400 ml medium</td> <td>cost</td> <td>$1(.)20 \div 3$</td> <td>£0.4(0) or 40p</td> </tr> </table> <p>Only FT from B1, B1 Must have consistent correct units or allow no units given</p>	4 small	vol	4×500	2000ml	4 small	cost	$4 \times (0.)40$	£1.6(0) or 160p	500ml large	cost	$2(.)50 \div 4$	£0.625 or 62.5p	2400ml medium	cost	$2 \times 1(.)20$	£2.40 or 240p	1000ml large	cost	$2(.)50 \div 2$	£1.25 or 125p	£1.20 in small	vol	3×500	1500 ml	3 small	cost	$3 \times (0.)40$	£1.20 or 120p	1/3 medium	vol	$1200 \div 3$	400 ml	400 ml medium	cost	$1(.)20 \div 3$	£0.4(0) or 40p
4 small	vol	4×500	2000ml																																			
4 small	cost	$4 \times (0.)40$	£1.6(0) or 160p																																			
500ml large	cost	$2(.)50 \div 4$	£0.625 or 62.5p																																			
2400ml medium	cost	$2 \times 1(.)20$	£2.40 or 240p																																			
1000ml large	cost	$2(.)50 \div 2$	£1.25 or 125p																																			
£1.20 in small	vol	3×500	1500 ml																																			
3 small	cost	$3 \times (0.)40$	£1.20 or 120p																																			
1/3 medium	vol	$1200 \div 3$	400 ml																																			
400 ml medium	cost	$1(.)20 \div 3$	£0.4(0) or 40p																																			
<p><u>3(a) Alternative method 1</u> Method of comparing all 3 cartons, e.g. ml per 10p or p per 100ml or £ per 6000 ml</p> <p>Conclusion 'small' based on accurate calculations from full comparison</p>	<p>M2</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>Ignore incorrect units given M1 for attempt to compare at least 2 of the 3 cartons</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="852 1037 1410 1312"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Small</th> <th>Medium</th> <th>Large</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ml for 10p</td> <td>$500 \div 4$ = 125</td> <td>$1200 \div 12$ = 100</td> <td>$2000 \div 25$ = 80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>p per 100 ml</td> <td>$40 \div 5$ = 8</td> <td>$1(.)20 \div 12$ = 10</td> <td>$2(.)50 \div 20$ = 12.5 Allow 12 or 13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£ per 6000ml</td> <td>$12 \times 0(.)40$ = 4.80</td> <td>$5 \times 1(.)20$ = 6</td> <td>$3 \times 2(.)50$ = 7.50</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Only FT from M2 Must have consistent correct units or allow no units given From division calculations, allow rounding and truncation provided it does not impact on being able to compare</p>		Small	Medium	Large	ml for 10p	$500 \div 4$ = 125	$1200 \div 12$ = 100	$2000 \div 25$ = 80	p per 100 ml	$40 \div 5$ = 8	$1(.)20 \div 12$ = 10	$2(.)50 \div 20$ = 12.5 Allow 12 or 13	£ per 6000ml	$12 \times 0(.)40$ = 4.80	$5 \times 1(.)20$ = 6	$3 \times 2(.)50$ = 7.50																				
	Small	Medium	Large																																			
ml for 10p	$500 \div 4$ = 125	$1200 \div 12$ = 100	$2000 \div 25$ = 80																																			
p per 100 ml	$40 \div 5$ = 8	$1(.)20 \div 12$ = 10	$2(.)50 \div 20$ = 12.5 Allow 12 or 13																																			
£ per 6000ml	$12 \times 0(.)40$ = 4.80	$5 \times 1(.)20$ = 6	$3 \times 2(.)50$ = 7.50																																			
<p>3(b) $300 \times 30 \div 12$ or 300×2.5 or 30×25 or $2 \times 300 + \frac{1}{4}(2 \times 300)$ or $600 + 150$ or equivalent</p> <p style="text-align: right;">750(ml)</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>May be seen in stages</p> <p>CAO</p>																																				

Unit 1: Intermediate Tier	Mark	Comments																								
<p>1(a) Method to compare the same number of toothbrushes, e.g. for 1, 5, 6, 15, 30 or 60 toothbrushes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) $1(.)44 \div 3$ AND $2(.)25 \div 5$ • (3) $(1(.)44$ AND) $3 \times 2(.)25 \div 5$ • (5) $2 \times 1(.)44 - 1(.)44 \div 3$ (AND $2(.)25$) • (5) $5 \times 1(.)44 \div 3$ (AND $2(.)25$) • (6) $2 \times 1(.)44$ AND $2(.)25 \div 5 + 2(.)25$ • (15) $5 \times 1(.)44$ AND $3 \times 2(.)25$ • (30) $10 \times 1(.)44$ AND $6 \times 2(.)25$ • (60) $20 \times 1(.)44$ AND $12 \times 2(.)25$ <p>An accurate calculation for a 3 pack OR a 5 pack, e.g. 48(p) or 45(p), (£)7.20 or (£)6.75</p> <p>Cost of same number of toothbrushes for 3 pack AND 5 pack WITH conclusion pack of 5 is better value for money</p>	<p>M1</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>In £s:</th> <th>1</th> <th>3</th> <th>5</th> <th>6</th> <th>15</th> <th>30</th> <th>60</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3pk</td> <td>0.48</td> <td>(1.44)</td> <td>2.40</td> <td>2.88</td> <td>7.20</td> <td>14.40</td> <td>28.80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5pk</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>1.35</td> <td>(2.25)</td> <td>2.70</td> <td>6.75</td> <td>13.50</td> <td>27.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p>	In £s:	1	3	5	6	15	30	60	3pk	0.48	(1.44)	2.40	2.88	7.20	14.40	28.80	5pk	0.45	1.35	(2.25)	2.70	6.75	13.50	27.00	<p>If units are given, they must be correct Ignore any subsequent working, unless it adversely impacts on the conclusion</p>
In £s:	1	3	5	6	15	30	60																			
3pk	0.48	(1.44)	2.40	2.88	7.20	14.40	28.80																			
5pk	0.45	1.35	(2.25)	2.70	6.75	13.50	27.00																			
<p>1(b) (100 ml for) $93 \times 4 \div 3$ or $93 \div 3 + 93$ or $93 \times 20 \div 15$ or $93 \times 100 \div 75$ or equivalent (£)1.24 or 124(p)</p>	<p>M2</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>M1 for any one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (25 ml for) $93 \div 3$ (= 31p) • (5 ml for) $93 \div 15$ (= 6.2p) • (1 ml for) $93 \div 75$ (= 1.24p) <p>If units are given, they must be correct</p>																								

<p>3(a) Method, e.g. trial to cost with twice as many pots as saucers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $2 \times 40 (+) 1 \times 25$ • $6 \times 40 (+) 3 \times 25$ <p style="text-align: right;">(= 105p)</p>	M1	<p>Accept sight of 105(p) or (£)1.05 as suitable method</p> <p>Allow for a suitable pair of double the number of plant pots to saucers, e.g. 18 pots and 9 saucers with 18×40 and 9×25</p>
<p>Calculation that would lead to a total cost of £10.50 or 10 saucers, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $20 \times 40 + 10 \times 25$ • $10 \times (2 \times 40 + 1 \times 25)$ • $10 \times (£)1.05$ • $10 \times 105(p)$ • $10(.)50 \div 1(.)05$ 	A1	<p>May be implied from sight of 10 saucers or 10 lots of 25p or (£)2.50</p>
<p>(Cost of 10 saucers $10 \times 25p$) (£)2.5(0)</p>	B1	<p>Must be as a final answer Answer space takes precedence</p> <p>Allow M1 A1 B1 for an unambiguous correct response</p>

<p>3(b) Method to compare the 3 packets, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For 1g of each considered: (Bee £2.49) Cornfield $15 \div 5$ AND Butterfly $7.2(0) \div 3$ Complete comparison of Bee Mix (5g comparison with Cornfield) 5×2.49 AND then (3g comparison with Butterfly) 3×2.49 Complete comparison of Butterfly Mix (1g comparison with Bee) Butterfly $7.2(0) \div 3$ AND then comparison of Butterfly with Cornfield <p>Accurate calculation(s) for comparison of 2 packets</p> <p>Accurate calculations for comparison of the 3 packets AND Conclusion, 'Butterfly Flower Mix', indicated or unambiguously implied</p>	<p>M2</p> <p>M1 for method to compare 2 packets, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For 1g of each: (Bee £2.49) Cornfield $15 \div 5$ or Butterfly $7.2(0) \div 3$ For 3g of each: (Butterfly £7.20) Bee 2.49×3 or Cornfield $3 \times 15 \div 5$ For 5g of each: (Cornfield £15) Bee 2.49×5 or Butterfly $5 \times 7.20 \div 3$ For 15g of each: Bee 15×2.49 and Cornfield 3×15 or Bee 15×2.49 and Butterfly 5×7.20 or Cornfield 3×15 and Butterfly 5×7.20 <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>May be in stages with different pairs of mixes compared, eliminated and a further suitable pair compared</p> <p>FT from M1 or M2 If units are given they must be correct, penalise once only</p>
--	--	---

